Judging Form - Spoken Word Performance

1

Artist Name:			
Entry:		Total Score :	/100
Branch:		Date:	
Judge:	Judge's Email:		

Instructions for judges:

- For each question, use a highlighter to mark any terms that apply to the artist.
- Then, circle the box in each row that indicates the point value you wish to assign for that question and write in the box to the right.
- Please do not use half points. They will be rounded down.

Documentation : Docume establishes the context of				uthentic, when and	d where it was pe	rformed, and	Bonus	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	
1. Organization: Overall, is the documentation coherent, well- organized, and easy to follow? (Include how well citations and references are incorporated into the text.)	No attempt made	Documentation lacks organization or is difficult to follow, includes minimal in-text citations and/or references	Documentation is somewhat organized and includes some in-text citations and a reference list that follows a standard format	Documentation is sufficiently organized, easy to follow, and includes in-text citations and a reference list that follows a standard format	Documentation is well organized, easy to follow, and includes in-text citations and a reference list that follows a standard format	Documentation is exceptionally well organized, easy to follow, and includes numerous in-text citations and a reference list that follows a standard format	Documentation is of a publishable level	1

								-
Documentation		1	2	3	4	5	6	
2. Research : Based on the evidence in the documentation, how thoroughly did the Performer research this performance? Include breadth and depth of research, quality of sources used (including primary, secondary, scholarly, etc.) and evidence of the Performer's interpretive process of	No attempt made	Documentation suggests only a cursory level of research, little depth or breadth. Few or no primary or scholarly sources consulted Little evidence of interpretation of sources.	Documentation shows some evidence of either breadth or depth of research. Majority of sources cited are secondary or non-scholarly Little or some evidence of interpretation of sources.	Documentation shows the Performer is working toward depth and breadth of research. At least some primary or reputable scholarly resources cited Some interpretation of	Documentation shows research with some depth and breadth. Sources used are generally high-quality, including primary and scholarly sources. Some interpretation of	Documentation shows very thorough research with both depth and breadth. Sources used are consistently high-quality, with an abundance of primary sources The Performer did extensive	Documentation presents new research conducted that extends upon what is known in the field or draws upon sources that were previously not generally known of or available	2
sources / supporting material. 3. Connection (between documentation and performance): How well does this	No attempt made	Documentation makes only oblique reference to	Documentation addresses the performance in only a	sources is evident. Documentation is adequate to support the performance	sources is evident. Documentation is effectively used to support the	interpretation of sources. The performance is clearly and thoroughly	Documentation is of a publishable level	
documentation support the performance? Is the performance itself clearly supported by the documentation given? Is the link between the research		the performance and might have wandered between topics without focus	superficial fashion The Performer makes a vague connection between their	The Performer makes a basic connection between their research and their	The Performer demonstrated a significant connection between their	The Performer's process was		3
and the performance clear?			research and their performance	performance	research and their performance	clearly informed by their research at every step, which is evident in reading documentation		

Spoken Wo	ord Rubric
-----------	------------

Spoken Word Rubric								4
Historical Accuracy/Au	thenticity:	Describes the peri	od appropriateness	s of the performan	ce.		Bonus	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	
5. Material: Did the piece probably exist in period, or are the themes, structures, and content consistent with a specific time and place in period?	No attempt made	The piece may contain period references but is well out of period in its theme, structures, and content	Some aspects of the piece were historically appropriate but the overall effect was modern	A roughly equal blend of modern and historical elements. Moving towards fully authentic	The piece may have had slightly modern characteristics but is largely historical content and style	The piece was demonstrably accurate by any reasonable measure	The piece would be perfectly at home in a historical context	5
6. Delivery Format: To what extent were the broad choices of improvisation vs. memorization, use of movement, natural vs. stylized, and the role of audience historically accurate?	No attempt made	Some aspects of a historically accurate delivery format were attempted	Some of the aspects of the delivery format were historical	Many of the aspects of the delivery format were historical	Most of the aspects of the delivery format were historical	All of the aspects of the delivery format were historical	All of the aspects of the delivery format would be perfectly at home in their historical context	6
7. Techniques and Interpretation: To what extent were the nuances of language, word choice, movement, characterization, performance persona, speaking style, and audience interactions historically accurate?	No attempt made	A few of the techniques and interpretation in the performance were historical (correct for the stated time and place)	Some of the techniques and interpretation used to in the performance were historical	Many of the techniques and interpretation used in the performance were historical	Most of the techniques and interpretation used in the performance were historical	All of the techniques and interpretation in the performance were historical	All of the techniques and interpretation in the performance would be indistinguishable from a period performance	7

Comments on Historical Accuracy / Authenticity:

Technical Ability: The le	evel of mastery of the	period appropriat	e skill set neces	sary to perform	the piece.	Bonus	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
8. Accuracy : How correctly does the Performer perform the	The Performer made multiple significant errors	The Performer made some errors but	The Performer made few enough errors,	The Performer gave a noticeably	The performance was clean and	The performance was so clean	
piece in terms of memorization and pronunciation, and meet the requirements of the format, style, setting, and genre?	in memorization, pronunciation, or style that detracted heavily from	mostly held the piece together	and recovered from them well enough, to successfully deliver the piece	clean performance, with little to no detectable errors	accurate, including the finer details that most performers gloss over	as to expose a level of detail and nuance that would otherwise be missed	8
county, and goiner	the performance				g		

Spoken Word Rubric

	1							1
Technical Ability		1	2	3	4	5	6	
9. Delivery : How well does the Performer use techniques of speech and movement, such as diction, projection, intention, pacing, tone variation, and body language?	No attempt made	The Performer showed awareness of some delivery techniques	The Performer gave a partially successful delivery of the piece	The Performer gave a competent delivery of the piece	The Performer gave a solid and skilled delivery of the piece	The Performer gave a masterful delivery of the piece	The Performer went beyond mastery of the delivery of the performance in some significant way	9
10. Interpretation : How well does the Performer use dramatic elements such as pauses and emphasis to convey the piece?	No attempt made	The Performer attempted to add dramatic elements	The Performer made some choices that contributed to the sense of the piece	The Performer generally made choices consistent with the sense of the piece	The Performer made interpretive choices that significantly enhanced the sense of the piece	The Performer made expert use of the available interpretive tools to greatly enhance the sense of the piece	The Performer went beyond the usual interpretive tools to greatly extend the sense of the piece in a new way	10
11. Understanding: How well does the Performance demonstrate an understanding of the original work and its context and convey that understanding to the audience?	No attempt made	The Performer demonstrated and conveyed understanding of a few aspects of the original work and its context	The Performer demonstrated and conveyed understanding of some aspects of the original work and its context	The Performer demonstrated and conveyed understanding of many aspects of the original work and its context	The Performer demonstrated and conveyed understanding of most aspects of the original work and its context	The Performer demonstrated and conveyed understanding of all aspects of the original work and its context	The Performer went beyond and conveyed understanding of the original work and its context in some new and significant way	11

Comments on Technical Ability:

Difficulty/Complexity : Com master) represented by the the genre of the piece rath	performance. The c	omplexity of a p	piece should be	considered within	the context of	Bonus	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
12. Difficulty of Acquisition : How hard was the piece to find, compile, and memorize?	The piece is very easy to find and learn	The piece is moderately easy to find and learn	The piece is moderately difficult to find and learn	The piece offers significant challenges to find and learn	The piece is very challenging to find and learn on multiple levels	The piece offers exceptional challenges in acquisition	12

Spoken Word Rubric			1	1	1		. 8
Difficulty/Complexity	1	2	3	4	5	6	
13. Difficulty of Preparation: How hard is the piece to prepare in terms of extra work such as translation, transcription, interpretation from period sources, extrapolation, and making performance choices?	This piece requires no extra preparation	This piece requires some extra preparation but no specialized skills or knowledge	This piece requires some extra preparation and some specialized skills and knowledge	This piece requires significant preparation, and a variety of skills and specialized knowledge	This piece requires extensive preparation, a variety of skills, a breadth of specialized knowledge	This piece requires an exceptional level of preparation and multiple areas of deep specialized knowledge	13
14. Difficulty of Performance : Are there aspects of this performance (difficult blocking, requisite physical skills, foreign languages, or obstacles to reaching the audience such as complex emotions or ideas, or obscure styles or genres) that make it hard to deliver?	The piece requires only basic skills to perform	The piece some extra effort or skills to perform	The piece is moderately challenging to perform	The piece is challenging to perform	The piece is very challenging to perform in multiple ways	This piece is far beyond the capabilities of most performers in the genre	14

Comments on Difficulty/Complexity:

Artistic Merit									
		1	2	3	4	5	6		
15. Aesthetics : How well does the performer use vocal sonority and cadence, facial expressions, movement, and other tools such as costumes and props to add beauty to the	No attempt made	The performance detracted from the sense of the piece due to vocal issues, physical awkwardness, or other	The performance was aesthetically inoffensive and did not detract from the sense of the piece	The performance was aesthetically consistent with the sense of the piece in a way that was moderately	The performance was memorably beautiful in a way that fit the sense of the piece	The performance was memorably beautiful in a way that transcended the sense of the piece	The performer delivered a meaningful aesthetic experience that would stand on its own even without the		
performance?		problems		pleasing		piece	context of the piece		

Artistic Merit		1	2	3	4	5	6	
 16. Originality : How well does the Performer present the performance in any new, more detailed, more obscure or otherwise interesting way? Consider any choices that go beyond what others have done, bringing new knowledge or performance choices. 	No attempt made	The Performer made a minimal attempt to personalize the performance	The Performer personalized the performance in some ways	The Performer personalized the performance in some ways and included at least one significant point of difference from common interpretations	The Performer presented a unique performance with multiple points of significant difference from common interpretations	The Performer presented a unique and surprising performance whose overall effect is much different from common interpretations	The Performer presented a unique performance that was surprising at multiple levels, whose overall effect transcends expectations	16
17. Emotional Impact : How well does the Performer generate a n emotional impact consistent with the presumed intent of the composer.	No attempt made	The Performer made an attempt to convey the emotional content of the piece	The Performer was somewhat able to convey the emotional content of the piece	The Performer was mostly able to convey the emotional content of the piece. The performance evoked the general feeling of the piece	The Performer was able to convey the emotional content of the piece	The Performer was completely able to convey the emotional content of the piece. The performance showed a nuanced understanding of the composer's presumed intent	The Performer went beyond what one would reasonably expect in conveying the emotional content of the piece	17

Comments on Artistic Merit:

Presentation : This applies to both the presentation of the performance to the audience and to the presentation of research to the judges.								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	
18. Setting the Stage : How well does the Performer create the setting for the performance? Consider costumes, props, ambience, in-persona introduction & interactions with the audience in persona.	attempt made an made attempt to create a se for the performance a manner appropriate the piece a	attempt to create a setting for the performance (in	for the performance	The Performer was able to create a setting for the performance	The Performer was able to create a nuanced setting for the performance at multiple levels	The Performer was able to fully immerse the audience in the sense and context of the performance	The Performer was able to fully immerse the audience in the sense and context of the performance to	18
		appropriate to the piece and the time period)					an exceptional degree	

Presentation		1	2	3	4	5	6
19. Stage Presence and Audience Engagement: How well does the performer demonstrate poise, confidence, charisma, clarity, awareness of the space and the people in it, and engage the audience in a manner consistent with the piece?	No attempt made	The performer attempted to demonstrate one or more aspects of stage presence	The performer showed some aspects of stage presence and was partially successful at connecting with the audience	The performer showed a moderate level of stage presence and audience connection	The performer showed notably good stage presence and solidly engaged the audience	The performer showed exceptional stage presence and strongly impacted the audience	The performer showed the stage presence of a true master and profoundly impacted the audience
20. Oral Presentation and Questions : How well does the Performer provide meaningful context and educate the listeners on the piece?	No attempt made	The Performer communicated their breadth OR depth of knowledge with basic details to demonstrate their knowledge	The Performer communicated their breadth OR depth of knowledge with some details of their knowledge	The Performer communicated breadth OR depth by providing details to demonstrate their knowledge	The Performer communicated breadth and depth by providing details to demonstrate their knowledge	The Performer communicated breadth and depth by providing many details to fully demonstrate their knowledge	The Performer communicated breadth and depth by thoroughly communicating their knowledge with many minor details and examples

19

20

demonstrating their knowledge

Comments on Presentation: